Poetics of the Oppressed

In the beginning the theater was the dithyrambic song: free people singing in the open air. The carnival. The feast.

Later, the ruling classes took possession of the theater and built their dividing walls. First, they divided the people, separating actors from spectators: people who act and people who watch—the party is over! Secondly, among the actors, they separated the protagonists from the mass. The coercive indoctrination

Now the oppressed people are liberated themselves and, once more, are making the theater their own. The walls must be torn down. First, the spectator starts acting again: invisible theater, forum theater, image theater, etc. Secondly, it is necessary to eliminate the private property of the characters by the individual actors: the "Joker" System.

With the two essays that follow I attempt to close the circle of this book. In them we see some of the ways by which the people reassume their protagonistic function in the theater and in society.

Experiments with the People's Theater in Peru

These experiments were carried out in August of 1973, in the cities of Lima and Chiclayo, with the invaluable collaboration of Alicia Saco, within the program of the Integral Literacy Operation (Operación Alfabetización Integral [ALFIN]), directed by Alfonso Lizarzaburu and with the participation, in the various sectors, of Estela Liñares, Luis Garrido Lecca, Ramón Vilcha, and Jesús Ruiz Durand. The method used by ALFIN in the literacy program was, of course, derived from Paulo Freire.

In 1973, the revolutionary government of Peru began a national literacy campaign called *Operación Alfabetización Integral* with the objective of eradicating illiteracy within the span of four years. It is estimated that in Peru's population of 14 million people, between three and four million are illiterate or semi-illiterate.

In any country the task of teaching an adult to read and write poses a difficult and delicate problem. In Peru the problem is magnified because of the vast number of languages and dialects spoken by its people. Recent studies point to the existence of at least 41 dialects of the two principal languages, besides Spanish, which are the Quechua and the Aymara. Research carried out in the province of Loreto in the north of the country, verified the existence of 45 different languages in that region. Forty-five languages, not mere dialects! And this is what is perhaps the least populated province in the country.

This great variety of languages has perhaps contributed to an understanding on the part of the organizers of ALFIN, that the illiterate are not people who are unable to express themselves: they are simply people unable to express themselves in a particular language, which in this case is Spanish. All idioms are "languages," but there is an infinite number of languages that are not idiomatic. There are many languages besides those that are written or spoken. By learning a new language, a person acquires a new way of knowing reality and of passing that knowledge on to others. Each language is absolutely irreplaceable. All languages complement each other in achieving the widest, most complete knowledge of what is real.¹

Assuming this to be true, the ALFIN project formulated two principal aims:

- to teach literacy in both the first language and in Spanish without forcing the abandonment of the former in favor of the latter;
- to teach literacy in all possible languages, especially the artistic ones, such as theater, photography, puppetry, films, journalism, etc.

The training of the educators, chosen from the same regions where literacy was to be taught, was developed in four stages according to the special characteristics of each social group:

- 1) barrios (neighborhoods) or new villages, corresponding to our-slums (cantegril, favela, . . .):
- 2) rural areas;
- mining areas;
- 4) areas where Spanish is not the first language, which embrace 40 percent of the population. Of this 40 percent, half is made up of bilingual citizens who learned Spanish after acquiring fluency in their own indigenous language. The other half speaks no Spanish.

It is too early to evaluate the results of the ALFIN plan since it is still in its early stages. What I propose to do here is to relate my personal experience as a participant in the theatrical sector and to outline the various experiments we made in considering the theater as language, capable of being utilized by any person, with or without artistic talent. We tried to show in practice how the theater can be placed at the service of the oppressed, so that they can express themselves and so that, by using this new language, they can also discover new concepts.

matters is that it is action! the revolution. The liberated spectator, as a whole person, theater is not revolutionary in itself, but it is surely a rehearsal for in short, trains himself for real action. In this case, perhaps the dramatic action, tries out solutions, discusses plans for change contrary, he himself assumes the protagonic role, changes the character (or actor) either to act or to think in his place; on the cuses on the action itself: the spectator delegates no power to the of critical consciousness. But the poetics of the oppressed foright to think for himself, often in opposition to the character. In character who thus acts in his place but the spectator reserves the proposes a poetics in which the spectator delegates power to the character so that the latter may act and think for him. Brecht etics in which the spectator delegates power to the dramatic hope that the differences remain clear. Aristotle proposes a pointo subjects, into actors, transformers of the dramatic action. I "spectators," passive beings in the theatrical phenomenon keep in mind its main objective: to change the people launches into action. No matter that the action is fictional; what the first case, a "catharsis" occurs; in the second, an awakening In order to understand this poetics of the oppressed one must

I believe that all the truly revolutionary theatrical groups should transfer to the people the means of production in the theater so that the people themselves may utilize them. The theater is a weapon, and it is the people who should wield it.

But how is this transference to be achieved? As an example I cite what was done by Estela Linares, who was in charge of the photography section of the ALFIN Plan.

What would be the old way to utilize photography in a literacy project? Without doubt, it would be to photograph things, streets, people, landscapes, stores, etc., then show the pictures and discuss them. But who would take these pictures? The instructors, group leaders, or coordinators. On the other hand, if we are going to give the people the means of production, it is necessary to hand over to them, in this case, the camera. This is what was done in ALFIN. The educators would give a camera to members of the study group, would teach them how to use it, and propose to them the following:

We are going to ask you some questions. For this purpose we will speak in Spanish. And you must answer us. But you can not speak in Spanish: you must speak in "photography." We ask you things in

Spanish, which is a language. You answer us in photography, which is also a language.

The questions asked were very simple, and the answers—that is, the photos—were discussed later by the group. For example, when people were asked, where do you live?, they responded with the following types of photo-answers:

- 1) A picture showing the interior of a shack. In Lima it rarely rains and for this reason the shacks are made of straw mats, instead of with more permanent walls and roofs. In general they have only one room that serves as kitchen, living room, and bedroom; the families live in great promiscuity and very often young children watch their parents engage in sexual intercourse, which commonly leads to sexual acts between brothers and sisters as young as ten or eleven years old, simply as an imitation of their parents. A photo showing the interior of a shack fully answers the question, where do you live? Every element of each photo has a special meaning, which must be discussed by the group: the objects focused on, the angle from which the picture is taken, the presence or absence of people in it, etc.
- 2) To answer the same question, a man took a picture of the bank of a river. The discussion clarified its meaning. The river Rimac, which passes through Lima, overflows at certain times of the year. This makes life on its banks extremely dangerous, since shacks are often swept away, with a consequent loss of human lives. It is also very common for children to fall into the river while playing and the rising waters make rescue difficult. When a man answers the question with that picture, he is fundamentally expressing anguish: how can he work with peace of mind knowing that his child may be drowning in the river?
- 3) Another man photographed a part of the river where pelicans come to eat garbage in times of great hunger; the people, equally hungry, capture, kill and eat the pelicans. Showing this photo, the man communicated his awareness of living in a place where ironically the people welcomed hunger, because it attracted the pelicans which then served to satisfy their hunger.
- 4) A woman who had recently emigrated from a small village in the interior answered with a picture of the main street in her *barrio*: the old natives of Lima lived on one side of the street, while those from the interior lived on the other. On one side were those who saw their jobs threatened by the newcomers; on the

other, the poor who had left everything behind in search of work. The street was a dividing line between brothers equally exploited, who found themselves facing each other as if they were enemies. The picture helped to reveal their common condition: poverty on both sides — while pictures of the wealthier neighborhoods showed who were their true enemies. The picture of the divided street showed the need to redirect their violent resentment. . . . Studying the picture of her street helped the woman to understand her own reality.

5) One day a man, in answer to the same question, took a picture of a child's face. Of course everyone thought that the man

had made a mistake and repeated the question to him:

"You didn't understand; what we want is that you show us where you live. Take a picture and show us where you live. Any picture; the street, the house, the town, the river..."

"Here is my answer. Here is where I live."

"But it's a child. ...'

"Look at his face: there is blood on it. This child, as all the others who live here, have their lives threatened by the rats that infest the whole bank of the river Rímac. They are protected by dogs that attack the rats and scare them away. But there was a mange epidemic and the city dog-catcher came around here catching lots of dogs and taking them away. This child had a dog who protected him. During the day his parents used to go to work and he was left with his dog. But now he doesn't have it any and come while the child was sleeping and had eaten part of his nose. This is why there's so much blood on his face. Look at the picture; it is my answer. I live in a place where things like this still

I could write a novel about the children of the barrios along the river Rímac; but only photography, and no other language, could express the pain of that child's eyes, of those tears mixed with blood. And, as if the irony and outrage were not enough, the photograph was in Kodachrome, "Made in U.S.A."

The use of photography may help also to discover valid symbols for a whole community or social group. It happens many times that well intentioned theatrical groups are unable to communicate with a mass audience because they use symbols that are meaningless for that audience. A royal crown may symbolize

power, but a symbol only functions as such if its meaning is shared. For some a royal crown may produce a strong impact and yet be meaningless for others.

What is exploitation? The traditional figure of Uncle Sam is, for many social groups throughout the world, the ultimate symbol of exploitation. It expresses to perfection the rapacity of "Yankee" imperialism.

children are reminded of oppression and their hatred of it; the every day between work and home. So they must rent a nail on other tools of the trade are of course an absolute necessity, and explained why. The simplest work boys engage in at the age of expressed their feelings in relation to exploitation. The discussion others, some government office. On the other hand, a child nothing to them. sight of a crown, Uncle Sam, or Nixon, however, probably means two or three soles per night and per nail. Looking at a nail, those yet these boys cannot be carrying their equipment back and forth to downtown Lima in order to find work. Their shine-boxes and live there are no shoes to shine and, for this reason, they must go five or six is shining shoes. Obviously, in the barrios where they perfect symbol of exploitation. Few adults understood it, but all answered with the picture of a nail on a wall. For him that was the the wall of some place of business, whose owner charges them the other children were in complete agreement that the picture Many photographs showed the grocer; others the landlord; still In Lima the people were also asked, what is exploitation?

It is easy enough to give a camera to someone who has never taken a picture before, tell him how to focus it and which button to press. With this alone the means of photographic production are in the hands of that person. But what is to be done in the case of the theater?

The means for producing a photograph are embodied in the camera, which is relatively easy to handle, but the means of producing theater are made up of man himself, obviously more difficult to manage.

We can begin by stating that the first word of the theatrical vocabulary is the human body, the main source of sound and movement. Therefore, to control the means of theatrical production, man must, first of all, control his own body, know his own body, in order to be capable of making it more expressive. Then

he will be able to practice theatrical forms in which by stages he frees himself from his condition of spectator and takes on that of actor, in which he ceases to be an object and becomes a subject,

is changed from witness into protagonist.

The plan for transforming the spectator into actor can be systematized in the following general outline of four stages:

First stage: *Knowing the body*: a series of exercises by which one gets to know one's body, its limitations and possibilities, its social distortions and possibilities of rehabilitation.

Second stage: Making the body expressive: a series of games by which one begins to express one's self through the body, abandoning other, more common and habitual forms of expression.

Third stage: The theater as language: one begins to practice theater as a language that is living and present, not as a finished product displaying images from the past:

First degree: Simultaneous dramaturgy: the spectators "write" simultaneously with the acting of the actors;

Second degree: Image theater: the spectators intervene directly, "speaking" through images made with the actors' bodies;

Third degree: Forum theater: the spectators intervene directly in the dramatic action and act.

Fourth stage: The theater as discourse: simple forms in which the spectator-actor creates "spectacles" according to his need to discuss certain themes or rehearse certain actions.

Examples:

- 1) Newspaper theater
- 2) Invisible theater
- 3) Photo-romance theater
- 4) Breaking of repression
- 5) Myth theater
- 6) Trial theater
- Masks and Rituals

First Stage: Knowing the Body.

The initial contact with a group of peasants, workers, or villagers — if they are confronted with the proposal to put on a theatrical performance — can be extremely difficult. They have

quite likely never heard of theater and if they have heard of it, their conception of it will probably have been distorted by television, with its emphasis on sentimentality, or by some traveling circus group. It is also very common for those people to associate theater with leisure or frivolity. Thus caution is required even when the contact takes place through an educator who belongs to the same class as the illiterates or semi-illiterates, even if he lives among them in a shack and shares their comfortless life. The very fact that the educator comes with the mission of eradicating illiteracy (which presupposes a coercive, forceful action) is in itself an alienating factor between the agent and the local people. For this reason the theatrical experience should begin not with something alien to the people (theatrical techniques that are taught or imposed) but with the bodies of those who agree to participate in the experiment.

There is a great number of exercises designed with the objective of making each person aware of his own body, of his bodily possibilities, and of deformations suffered because of the type of work he performs. That is, it is necessary for each one to feel the "muscular alienation" imposed on his body by work.

A simple example will serve to clarify this point: compare the muscular structure of a typist with that of the night watchman of a factory. The first performs his or her work seated in a chair: from the waist down the body becomes, during working hours, a kind of pedestal, while arms and fingers are active. The watchman, on the other hand, must walk continually during his eight-hour shift and consequently will develop muscular structures that facilitate walking. The bodies of both become alienated in accordance with their respective types of work.

The same is true of any person whatever the work or social status. The combination of roles that a person must perform imposes on him a "mask" of behavior. This is why those who perform the same roles end up resembling each other: artists, soldiers, clergymen, teachers, workers, peasants, landlords, decadent noblemen, etc.

Compare the angelical placidity of a cardinal walking in heavenly bliss through the Vatican Gardens with, on the other hand, an aggressive general giving orders to his inferiors. The former walks softly, listening to celestial music, sensitive to colors of the purest impressionistic delicacy: if by chance a small

Poetics of the Oppressed

bird crosses the cardinal's path, one easily imagines him talking to the bird and addressing it with some amiable word of Christian inspiration. By contrast, it does not befit the general to talk with little birds, whether he cares to or not. No soldier would respect a general who talks to the birds. A general must talk as someone who gives orders, even if it is to tell his wife that he loves her. Likewise, a military man is expected to use spurs, whether he be a brigadier or an admiral. Thus all military officers resemble each other, just as do all cardinals; but vast differences separate generals from cardinals.

The exercises of this first stage are designed to "undo" the muscular structure of the participants. That is, to take them apart, to study and analyze them. Not to weaken or destroy them, but to raise them to the level of consciousness. So that each worker, each peasant understands, sees, and feels to what point his body is governed by his work.

If one is able, in this way, to disjoint one's own muscular structures, one will surely be able to assemble structures characteristic of other professions and social classes; that is, one will be able to physically "interpret" characters different from oneself.

All the exercises of this series are in fact designed to disjoint. Acrobatic and athletic exercises that serve to create muscular structures characteristic of athletes or acrobats are irrelevant here. I offer the following as examples of disjunctive exercises:

1) Slow motion race. The participants are invited to run a race with the aim of losing: the last one is the winner. Moving in slow motion, the body will find its center of gravity dislocated at each successive moment and so must find again a new muscular structure which will maintain its balance. The participants must never interrupt the motion or stand still; also they must take the longest step they can and their feet must rise above knee level. In this exercise, a 10-meter run can be more tiring than a conventional 500-meter run, for the effort needed to keep one's balance in each new position is intense.

2) Cross-legged race. The participants form pairs, embrace each other and interwine their legs (the left of one with the right of the other, and vice versa). In the race, each pair acts as if it were a single person and each person acts as if his mate were his leg. The "leg" doesn't move alone: it must be put in motion by its mate!

3) Monster race. "Monsters" of four legs are formed: each

person embraces the thorax of his mate but in reverse position; so that the legs of one fit around the neck of the other, forming a headless monster with four legs. The monsters then run a race.

4) Wheel race. The pairs form wheels, each one grabbing the ankles of the other, and run a race of human wheels.

5) Hypnosis. The pairs face each other and one puts his hand a few centimeters from the nose of his partner, who must keep this distance: the first one starts to move his hand in all directions, up and down, from left to right, slowly or faster, while the other moves his body in order to maintain the same distance between his nose and his partner's hand. During these movements he is forced to assume bodily positions that he never takes in his daily life, thus reforming permanently his muscular structures.

Later, groups of three are formed: one leads and the other two follow, one at each hand of the leader. The latter can do anything — cross his arms, separate his hands, etc., while the other two must try to maintain the distance. Afterward, groups of five are formed, one as leader and the other four keeping the distance in relation to the two hands and feet of the leader, while the latter can do what he pleases, even dance, etc.

6) Boxing match. The participants are invited to box, but they cannot touch each other under any circumstances; each one must fight as if he were really fighting but without touching his partner, who nevertheless must react as if he had received each blow.

7) Out West. A variation of the preceding exercises. The participants improvise a scene typical of bad western movies, with the pianist, the swaggering young cowboy, the dancers, the drunks, the villains who come in kicking the saloon doors, etc. The whole scene is performed in silence; the participants are not allowed to touch each other, but must react to every gesture or action. For example, an *imaginary* chair is thrown against a row of bottles (also imaginary), the pieces of which fly in all directions, and the participants react to the chair, the falling bottles, etc. At the end of the scene all must engage in a free-for-all fight.

All these exercises are included in my book 200 Exercises and Games for the Actor and for the Non-actor Who Wants to Say Something Through Theater. There are many more exercises that can be used in the same manner. In proposing exercises it's

others: in this stage, the type that would serve to analyze the always advisable to ask the participants to describe or invent the maintenance of a creative atmosphere is extremely important. muscular structures of each participant. At every stage, however,

Second Stage: Making the Body Expressive.

everything through words, leaving the enormous expressive ability of the body. In our culture we are used to expressing are invited to "play," not to "interpret," characters but they wil capabilities of the body in an underdeveloped state. A series of "play" better to the extent that they "interpret" better. not necessarily those of a theatrical laboratory. The participants resources for self-expression. I am talking about parlor games and "games" can help the participants to begin to use their bodily In the second stage the intention is to develop the expressive

communication must be effected entirely through the body. After or recognizable sounds. purely physical communication, without the utilization of words the game is over when all participants find their mates through a convinced that they constitute a pair, they leave the stage, and be a male and a female for each one. When two participants are the others who are imitating the animals, since there will always the first ten minutes, each participant must find his mate among making noises that would suggest the animal is forbidden. The of animals, male and female, are distributed, one to each particiimpression of the animal named on his piece of paper. Talking or pant. For ten minutes, each person tries to give a physical, bodily For example: In one game pieces of paper containing names

out realizing it they will in fact be giving a "dramatical nerformance" but rather that all the participants try to express themselves through their bodies, something they are not used to doing. With-What is important in games of this type is not to guess right

from participant to participant, halted before each one of them imitated the frenetic wings of the hummingbird and, "flying" while producing a peculiar sound. So with his hands the man rapidly from one flower to another, stops and sucks on a flower physically, he remembered nevertheless that this bird flies very man drew the name hummingbird. Not knowing how to express it I remember one of these games played in a slum area, when a

> persistent suitor off stage simply to end the ordeal. Then (for only then were they allowed to talk) the first man, full of joy, cried out: — while the others roared with laughter — decided to follow his though convinced that the other man was not his mate, the fat one mingbird mate and singing with ever more amorous glee. Finally, fellow went after him, more and more in love with his humsinging joyfully. The fat man, upset, tried to escape, but the other turns around "her" and throwing little kisses to the air while ment with his hands and, setting aside his doubts, decided that there was his beloved mate; he went straight to "her," making Finally he saw a tall, fat man who was making a pendular moveone who seemed to be enough of a hummingbird to attract him. look for his mate, this man looked all around him and found no making that sound. After ten minutes, when it was time for him to

"I am the male hummingbird, and you are the female? Isn't

dummy, I'm the bull.... The fat one, very discouraged, looked at him and said: "No,

people tried to "speak" with their bodies. no matter: what does matter is that for 15 or 20 minutes all those mingbird while trying to portray a bull, we will never know. But, How the fat man could give an impression of a delicate hum-

cally, their opinions and mutual criticisms. to convey impressions of each other and thus revealing, physipants themselves may be written on slips of paper, requiring them physical expression through the game. The names of the particimust portray a policeman, all their ideology counts and finds act as a landlord; a worker, the owner of a factory; or if a woman sions. If the participants depict an animal, it will perhaps have paper can bear, for example, the names of occupations or profeslittle to do with their ideology. But if a peasant is called upon to This type of game can be varied ad infinitum; the slips of

an entertainment that comes from the outside. couraged to invent other games and not to be passive recipients of one proposes to the participants, the latter should always be en-In this stage, as in the first, regardless of how many games

Third Stage: The Theater as Language.

different degree of direct participation of the spectator in the This stage is divided into three parts, each one representing a

role of subject. The two preceding stages are preparatory, centeraction, abandoning his condition of object and assuming fully the performance. The spectator is encouraged to intervene in the ing around the work of the participants with their own bodies. Now this stage focuses on the theme to be discussed and furthers the transition from passivity to action.

tation made to the spectator to intervene without necessitating his First degree: Simultaneous dramaturgy: This is the first invi-

physical presence on the "stage."

case, the performance gains in theatricality if the person who beforehand, as they may also compose the scene directly. In any barrio. The actors may improvise with the aid of a script prepared twenty minutes, proposed by a local resident, one who lives in the proposed the theme is present in the audience. Having begun the scene, the actors develop it to the point at which the main probwork the actors perform it simultaneously. The spectator's tions from the audience. Thus, while the audience "writes" the actors, who are obligated to comply strictly with these instruchas the right to intervene, to correct the actions or words of the provise immediately all the suggested solutions, and the audience performance and ask the audience to offer solutions. They imlem reaches a crisis and needs a solution. Then the actors stop the actors. All the solutions, suggestions, and opinions are revealed thoughts are discussed theatrically on stage with the help of the elements of theatrical expression as well. form of words, but rather should be effected through all the other in theatrical form. The discussion itself need not simply take the Here it is a question of performing a short scene, of ten to

one reason or another and, remembering the documents, the tremely important. The woman - who happened to be illiterate keep some "documents" which, according to him, were extroversial theme. Her husband, some years before, had told her to In a barrio of San Hilarion, in Lima, a woman proposed a conwoman decided to find out what they were all about, since she - put them away without suspicion. One day they had a fight for small house. Frustrated in her inability to read, she asked a was afraid they had something to do with the ownership of their neighbor to read the documents to her. The lady next door kindly made haste to read the documents, which to the surprise and Here's an example of how simultaneous dramaturgy works.

> attitude in relation to her husband. the husband returns home at night, after his wife has uncovered amusement of the whole barrio, were not documents at all, but was interpreting the woman asked the others what should be her the mystery of the letters. The woman wants revenge: how is she venge. The actors improvised the scenes until the moment when husband. Now this betrayed and illiterate woman wanted rerather love letters written by the mistress of the poor woman's to get it? Here the action is interrupted and the participant who

of views. The actors listened to the different suggestions and solutions in this particular case: acted them out according to instructions given by the audience. All the possibilities were tried. Here are some of the suggested All the women of the audience entered into a lively exchange

consoled her, and when the crying was over he asked her to serve actress carried out this suggestion: she cried a lot, the husband woman suggested that the betrayed woman start to cry a lot so he loved only his wife, etc., etc. The audience did not accept this band assured her that he had already forgotten the mistress, that his dinner; and everything remained as it was before. The husthat the husband might feel bad about his own behavior. The 1) To cry a lot in order to make him feel guilty. One young

would she go now? Where could she live? This punishment pos-2) To abandon the house, leaving her husband alone as a punishment. The actress carried out this suggestion and, after itively was not good since it turned against the punisher, herself. In punishing her husband she ended up punishing herself. Where own house), she asked the public about what she should do next. he would learn a lesson. But upon leaving the house (that is, her reproaching her husband for his wicked behavior, grabbed her things, put them in a bag, and left him alone, very lonely, so that

several times, the husband commented: begs to be let in, but the wife steadfastly refused. After insisting away. This variation was also rehearsed. The husband repeatedly 3) To lock the house so that the husband would have to go

mented that she did not like this solution, since the husband went get by the best way you can." And he left. The actress com-I'll take the money and go live with my mistress and you can just "Very well, I'll go away. They paid me my salary today, so

to live with the other woman, and what about the wife? How is she going to live now? The poor woman does not make enough money to support herself and cannot get along without her husband.

4) The last solution was presented by a large, exuberant woman; it was the solution accepted unanimously by the entire audience, men and women. She said: "Do it like this: let him come in, get a really big stick, and hit him with all your might—give him a good beating. After you've beat him enough for him to feel repentant, put the stick away, serve him his dinner with affection, and forgive him."

The actress performed this version, after overcoming the natural resistence of the actor who was playing the husband, and after a barrage of blows — to the amusement of the audience — the two of them sat at the table, ate, and discussed the latest measures taken by the government, which happened to be the nationalization of American companies.

ject to criticism, to rectification. All can be changed, and at a something inevitable, as Fate. Man is Man's fate. Thus Man-theneously. The spectators feel that they can intervene in the action. ticipants and starts to demolish the wall that separates actors spectator is the creater of Man-the-character. Everything is subspectator, by virtue of being a spectator, has the right to try his without protest, any proposed action; they must simply act it out, moment's notice: the actors must always be ready to accept, The action ceases to be presented in a deterministic manner, as from spectators. Some "write" and others act almost simultadictated a finished text, here on the contrary, he must interpret solitary author locked in his study, to whom divine inspiration main function: he goes on being the interpreter. What changes is version - without censorship. The actor does not change his to give a live view of its consequences and drawbacks. Any pret the individual and starts to interpret the group, which is collective thought of men and women. The actor ceases to interof "friends of the barrio," groups of neighbors, schools, unions, the mass audience, assembled in their local committees, societies the object of his interpretation. If formerly he interpreted the much more difficult and at the same time much more creative peasant leagues, or whatever; he must give expression to the This form of theater creates great excitement among the par-

> cepted by all as representative of a real situation, each one is wishes to use. Thus, starting with a grouping of "statues" acchange, the transformation, the revolution, or whatever term one a transitional image, to show how it would be possible to pass shown, in the second the ideal image. Finally he is asked to show given theme to be; that is, in the first grouping the actual image is an image is arrived at that is the most acceptable to all, then the order to determine if all agree with his "sculpted" opinion. statue-spectator to do. After organizing this group of statues he is clay: he must determine the position of each body down to the only the bodies of the other participants and "sculpting" with water, a common occurrence in almost all the barrios. The particple, imperialism — or it can be a local problem such as the lack of discuss. The theme can be far-reaching, abstract — as, for examcertain theme of common interest that the participants wish to participate more directly. He is asked to express his views on a asked to propose ways of changing it. spectator-sculptor is asked to show the way he would like the modify the statues in their totality or in some detail. When finally allowed to enter into a discussion with the other participants in him is to show with his own facial expressions what he wants the most minute details of their facial expressions. He is not allowed ipant is asked to express his opinion, but without speaking, using from one reality to the other. In other words, how to carry out the Modifications can be rehearsed: the spectator has the right to to speak under any circumstances. The most that is permitted to the others as if he were a sculptor and the others were made of feelings become evident. The participant is to use the bodies of them a group of statues, in such a way that his opinions and Second degree: Image theater: Here the spectator has to

Once again, a concrete example can best clarify the matter. A young woman, a literacy agent who lived in the village of Otuzco, was asked to explain, through a grouping of live images, what her home town was like. In Otuzco, before the present Revolutionary Government,² there was a peasant rebellion; the landlords (that no longer exist in Peru), imprisoned the leader of the rebellion, took him to the main square, and, in front of everyone, castrated him. The young woman from Otuzco composed the image of the castration, placing one of the participants on the ground while another pretended to be castrating him and

still another held him from behind. Then at one side she placed a woman praying, on her knees, and at the other side a group of five men and women, also on their knees, with hands tied behind their backs. Behind the man being castrated, the young woman placed another participant in a position obviously suggestive of power and violence and, behind him, two armed men pointing their guns at the prisoner.

This was the image that person had of her village. A terrible, pessimistic, defeatist image, but also a true reflection of something that had actually taken place. Then the young woman was asked to show what she would want her village to be like. She modified completely the "statues" of the group and regrouped them as people who worked in peace and loved each other — in short, a happy and contented, ideal Otuzco. Then came the third, and most important part, of this form of theater: how can one, starting with the actual image, arrive at the ideal image? How to bring about the change, the transformation, the revolution?

Here it was a question of giving an opinion, but without words. Each participant had the right to act as a "sculptor" and to show how the grouping, or organization, could be modified through a reorganization of forces for the purpose of arriving at an ideal image. Each one expressed his opinion through imagery. Lively discussions arose, but without words. When one would exclaim, "It's not possible like this; I think that . . .," he was immediately interrupted: "Don't say what you think; come and show it to us." The participant would go and demonstrate physically, visually, his thought, and the discussion would continue. In this particular case the following variations were observed:

i) When a young woman from the interior was asked to form the image of change, she would never change the image of the kneeling woman, signifying clearly that she did not see in that woman a potential force for revolutionary change. Naturally the young women identified themselves with that feminine figure and, since they could not perceive themselves as possible protagonists of the revolution, they left unmodified the image of the kneeling woman. On the other hand, when the same thing was asked of a girl from Lima, she, being more "liberated," would start off by changing precisely that image with which she identified herself. This experiment was repeated many times and always produced the same results, without variation. Undoubtedly the different

patterns of action represent not chance occurrence but the sincere, visual expression of the ideology and psychology of the participants. The young women from Lima always modified the image: some would make the woman clasp the figure of the castrated man, others would prompt the woman to fight against the castrator, etc. Those from the interior did little more than allow the woman to lift her hands in prayer.

2) All the participants who believed in the Revolutionary Government would start by modifying the armed figures in the background: they changed the two men who were aiming their guns at the victim so that they would then aim at the powerful figure in the center or at the castrators themselves. On the other hand, when a participant did not have the same faith in his government, he would alter all figures except the armed ones.

3) The people who believed in magical solutions or in a

3) The people who believed in magical solutions or in a "change of conscience" on the part of the exploiting classes, would start by modifying the castrators — viewing them in effect as changing of their own volition — as well as the powerful figure in the center, who would become regenerated. By contrast, those who did not believe in this form of social change would first alter the kneeling men, making them assume a fighting posture, attacking the oppressors.

4) One of the young women, besides showing the transformations to be the work of the kneeling men — who would free themselves, attack their torturers and imprison them — also had one of the figures representing the people address the other participants, clearly expressing her opinion that social changes are made by the people as a whole and not only by their vanguard.

5) Another young woman made all kinds of changes, leaving untouched only the five persons with their hands tied. This girl belonged to the upper middle class. When she showed signs of nervousness for not being able to imagine any further changes, someone suggested to her the possibility of changing the group of tied figures; the girl looked at them in surprise and exclaimed: "The truth is that those people didn't fit in! . . ." It was the truth. The people did not fit into her view of the scheme of things, and she had never before been able to see it.

This form of image theater is without doubt one of the most stimulating, because it is so easy to practice and because of its extraordinary capacity for making thought *visible*. This happens

denotation that is the same for all, but it also has a connotation dichotomy. The image synthesizes the individual connotation and revolution," here there will be no denotation-connotation But if I have to arrange a group of statues that will signify "my or her "own" revolution, a personal conception of revolution. radical change, but at the same time each person will think of his tion," obviously everyone will realize that I am talking about a that is unique for each individual. If I utter the word "revolubecause use of the language idiom is avoided. Each word has a showing disagreement among themselves? My conception of common enemies; or are the figures of the people dispersed, or united in a fighting posture against the figures representing the tion, what are the statues doing? Do they have weapons in their the collective denotation. In my arrangement signifying revoluwith images what I think. "revolution" will become clear if, instead of speaking, I show hands or do they have ballots? Are the figures of the people

I remember that in a session of psychodrama a girl spoke repeatedly of the problems she had with her boyfriend, and she always started with more or less the same phrase: "He came in, embraced me, and then..." Each time we heard this opening phrase we understood that they did in fact embrace; that is, we understood what the word embrace denotes. Then one day she showed by acting how their meetings were: he approached, she crossed her arms over her breasts as if protecting herself, he took hold of her and hugged her tightly, while she continued to keep her hands closed, defending herself. That was clearly a particular connotation for the word embrace. When we understood her "embrace" we were finally able to understand her problems with her boyfriend.

In image theater other techniques can be used:

- 1) Each participant transformed into a statue is allowed one movement or gesture, and only one, each time a signal (like a clap of hands) is given. In this case the arrangement of images will change according to the individual desire of each participant.
- 2) The participants are first asked to memorize the ideal image, then to return to the original, actual image, and finally to make the movements necessary to arrive again at the ideal image—thus showing the group of images in motion and allowing the analysis of the feasibility of the proposed transitions. One will

then be able to see if change occurs by the grace of God or if it is brought about by the opposing forces operating within the very core of the group.

3) The sculptor-participant, once his work is finished, is asked to try to place himself in the group he has created. This sometimes helps the person to realize that his own vision of reality is a cosmic one, as if he were a part of that reality.

The game of images offers many other possibilities. The important thing is always to analyze the feasibility of the change.

stantly to all the possibilities that it may present. aside, but remains ready to resume action the moment the particexplained that the scene will be performed once more, exactly as actors have to face the newly created situation, responding init was the first time. But now any participant in the audience has solution presented. At least some will say no. At this point it is skit is over, the participants are asked if they agree with the provised or rehearsed, and subsequently presented. When the difficult solution. Then a ten- or fifteen-minute skit portraying are asked to tell a story containing a political or social problem of ipant considers his own intervention to be terminated. The other that seems to him most appropriate. The displaced actor steps the right to replace any actor and lead the action in the direction that problem and the solution intended for discussion is imand change it. The procedure is as follows: First, the participants the participant has to intervene decisively in the dramatic action Third degree: Forum theater: This is the last degree and here

The participants who choose to intervene must continue the physical actions of the replaced actors; they are not allowed to come on the stage and talk, talk, talk: they must carry out the same type of work or activities performed by the actors who were in their place. The theatrical activity must go on in the same way, on the stage. Anyone may propose any solution, but it must be done on the stage, working, acting, doing things, and not from the comfort of his seat. Often a person is very revolutionary when in a public forum he envisages and advocates revolutionary and heroic acts; on the other hand, he often realizes that things are not so easy when he himself has to practice what he suggests.

An example: An eighteen-year-old man worked in the city of Chimbote, one of the world's most important fishing ports. There are in that city a great number of factories of fish meal, a principal

export product of Peru. Some factories are very large, while others have only eight or nine employees. Our young man worked for one of the latter. The boss was a ruthless exploiter and forced his employees to work from eight o'clock in the morning to eight at night, or vice versa — twelve consecutive hours of work. Thus the problem was how to combat this inhuman exploitation. Each participant had a proposal: one of them was, for example, "operation turtle," which consists in working very slowly, especially when the boss is not looking. Our young man had a brilliant idea: to work faster and fill the machine with so much fish that it would break with the excessive weight, requiring two or three hours to fix it. During this time the workers could rest. There was the problem, the employer's exploitation; and there was one solution, invented by native ingenuity. But would that be the best solution?

The scene was performed in the presence of all the participants. Some actors represented the workers, another represented the boss, another the foreman, another a "stool pigeon." The stage was converted into a fish meal factory: one worker unloading the fish, another weighing the bags of fish, another carrying the bags to the machines, another tending the machine, while still others performed other pertinent tasks. While they worked, they kept up a dialogue, proposing solutions and discussing them until they came to accept the solution proposed by the young man and broke the machine; the boss came and the workers rested while the engineer repaired the machine. When the repair was done, they went back to work.

The scene was staged for the first time and the question was raised: Were all in agreement? No, definitely not. On the contrary, they disagreed. Each one had a different proposal: to start a strike, throw a bomb at the machine, start a union, etc.

Then the technique of forum theater was applied: the scene would be staged exactly as it had been the first time, but now each spectator-participant would have the right to intervene and change the action, trying out his proposal. The first to intervene was the one who suggested the use of a bomb. He got up, replaced the actor who was portraying the young man, and made his bomb-throwing proposal. Of course all the other actors argued against it since that would mean the destruction of the factory, and therefore the source of work. What would become of so many workers if the factory closed up? Disagreeing, the man decided to

political consciousness to replace the strikers. ers (there is mass unemployment in Chimbote). This spectatorwould not know what to do in reality, and would probably be the be able to find workers hungry enough and with little enough ticability; with so much unemployment the bosses would always participant tried his solution, the strike, and realized its impracaudience) to look for other workers who would replace the strikremained in the factory, went to the town square (among the case, the owner, the foreman, and the "stool pigeon," who had working and walk out, leaving the factory abandoned. In this argument with the others he managed to convince them to stop second person came to try his solution, the strike. After much man returned to his place and the actor replaced him until a first to perish in the explosion. After trying his bomb-solution, the people who in theoretical discussions advocate throwing bombs how to manufacture a bomb nor even how to throw it. Many throw the bomb himself, but soon realized that he did not know

The third attempt was to form a small union for the purpose of negotiating the workers' demands, politicizing the employed workers, as well as the unemployed, setting up mutual funds, etc. In this particular session of forum theater, this was the solution judged to be the best by the participants. In the forum theater no idea is imposed: the audience, the people, have the opportunity to try out all their ideas, to rehearse all the possibilities, and to verify them in practice, that is, in theatrical practice. If the audience had come to the conclusion that it was necessary to dynamite all the fish meal factories in Chimbote, this would also be right from their point of view. It is not the place of the theater to show the correct path, but only to offer the means by which all possible paths may be examined.

Maybe the theater in itself is not revolutionary, but these theatrical forms are without a doubt a rehearsal of revolution. The truth of the matter is that the spectator-actor practices a real act even though he does it in a fictional manner. While he rehearses throwing a bomb on stage, he is concretely rehearsing the way a bomb is thrown; acting out his attempt to organize a strike, he is concretely organizing a strike. Within its fictitious limits, the experience is a concrete one.

Here the cathartical effect is entirely avoided. We are used to plays in which the characters make the revolution on stage and

the spectators in their seats feel themselves to be triumphant revolutionaries. Why make a revolution in reality if we have already made it in the theater? But that does not happen here: the rehearsal stimulates the practice of the act in reality. Forum theater, as well as these other forms of a people's theater, instead of taking something away from the spectator, evoke in him a desire to practice in reality the act he has rehearsed in the theater. The practice of these theatrical forms creates a sort of uneasy sense of incompleteness that seeks fulfillment through real action.

Fourth Stage: The Theater as Discourse.

George Ikishawa used to say that the bourgeois theater is the finished theater. The bourgeoisie already knows what the world is like, their world, and is able to present images of this complete, finished world. The bourgeoisie presents the spectacle. On the other hand, the proletariat and the oppressed classes do not know yet what their world will be like; consequently their theater will be the rehearsal, not the finished spectacle. This is quite true, though it is equally true that the theater can present images of transition.

I have been able to observe the truth of this view during all my activities in the people's theater of so many and such different countries of Latin America. Popular audiences are interested in experimenting, in rehearsing, and they abhor the "closed" spectacles. In those cases they try to enter into a dialogue with the actors, to interrupt the action, to ask for explanations without waiting politely for the end of the play. Contrary to the bourgeois code of manners, the people's code allows and encourages the spectator to ask questions, to dialogue, to participate.

All the methods that I have discussed are forms of a rehearsal-theater, and not a spectacle-theater. One knows how these experiments will begin but not how they will end, because the spectator is freed from his chains, finally acts, and becomes a protagonist. Because they respond to the real needs of a popular audience they are practiced with success and joy.

But nothing in this prohibits a popular audience from practicing also more "finished" forms of theater. In Peru many forms previously developed in other countries, especially Brazil and Argentina, were also utilized and with great success. Some of these forms were:

1) Newspaper theater. It was initially developed by the Nucleus Group of the Arena Theater of Sao Paulo, of which I was the artistic director until forced to leave Brazil.³ It consists of several simple techniques for transforming daily news items, or any other non-dramatic material, into theatrical performances.

a) Simple reading: the news item is read detaching it from the context of the newspaper, from the format which makes it false or tendentious

false or tendentious.

b) Crossed reading: two news items are read in crossed (al-

giving it a new dimension.

c) Complementary reading: data and information generally omitted by the newspapers of the ruling classes are added to the news.

ternating) form, one throwing light on the other, explaining it,

news

d) Rhythmical reading: as a musical commentary, the news is read to the rhythm of the samba, tango, Gregorian chant, etc., so that the rhythm functions as a critical "filter" of the news, revealing its true content, which is obscured in the newspaper.

e) Parallel action: the actors mime parallel actions while the

e) Parallel action: the actors mime parallel actions while the news is read, showing the context in which the reported event really occurred; one hears the news and sees something else that complements it visually.

f) Improvisation: the news is improvised on stage to exploit all its variants and possibilities.

g) Historical: data or scenes showing the same event in other historical moments, in other countries, or in other social systems, are added to the news.

h) Reinforcement: the news is read or sung with the aid or accompaniment of slides, jingles, songs, or publicity materials.

i) Concretion of the abstract: that which the news often hides in its purely abstract information is made concrete on the stage: torture, hunger, unemployment, etc., are shown concretely, using graphic images, real or symbolic.

j) Text out of context: the news is presented out of the context in which it was published; for example, an actor gives the speech about austerity previously delivered by the Minister of Economics while he devours an enormous dinner: the real truth behind the minister's words becomes demystified — he wants austerity for the people but not for himself.

2) Invisible theater: It consists of the presentation of a scene

in an environment other than the theater, before people who are not spectators. The place can be a restaurant, a sidewalk, a market, a train, a line of people, etc. The people who witness the scene are those who are there by chance. During the spectacle, these people must not have the slightest idea that it is a "spectacle," for this would make them "spectators."

The invisible theater calls for the detailed preparation of a skit with a complete text or a simple script; but it is necessary to rehearse the scene sufficiently so that the actors are able to incorporate into their acting and their actions the intervention of the spectators. During the rehearsal it is also necessary to include every imaginable intervention from the spectators; these possibilities will form a kind of optional text.

The invisible theater erupts in a location chosen as a place where the public congregates. All the people who are near become involved in the eruption and the effects of it last long after the skit is ended.

of other diners, but not in a too obvious way) informs the waiter "protagonist" in a more or less loud voice (to attract the attention agents of ALFIN were staying, together with 400 other people, the enormous restaurant of a hotel in Chiclayo, where the literacy "Barbecue a la pauper." The waiter points out that it will cost which he may like better. The actor chooses a dish called in his opinion it is too bad. The waiter does not like the remark that he cannot go on eating the food served in that hotel, because the "actors" sit at separate tables. The waiters start to serve. The brings him the barbecue, the protagonist eats it rapidly and gets loud voice, that there is no problem. Minutes later the waiter him 70 soles, to which the actor answers, always in a reasonably but tells the customer that he can choose something a la carte, they are eating, but the pity is that one has to pay for it.... at the next table that his barbecue was much better than the food the bill. The actor shows a worried expression and tells the people ready to get up and leave the restaurant, when the waiter brings A small example shows how the invisible theater works. In

"I'm going to pay for it; don't have any doubts. I ate the 'barbecue a la pauper' and I'm going to pay for it. But there is a problem: I'm broke."

"And how are you going to pay?," asks the indignant waiter." "You knew the price before ordering the barbecue. And

now, how are you going to pay for it?"

The diners nearby are, of course, closely following the dialogue — much more attentively than they would if they were witnessing the scene on a stage. The actor continues:

"Don't worry, because I am going to pay you. But since I'm broke I will pay you with labor-power."

"With what?," asks the waiter, astonished. "What kind of

"With labor-power, just as I said. I am broke but I can rent you my labor-power. So I'll work doing something for as long as it's necessary to pay for my 'barbecue a la pauper,' which, to tell the truth, was really delicious — much better than the food you serve to those poor souls. . . ."

By this time some of the customers intervene and make remarks among themselves at their tables, about the price of food, the quality of the service in the hotel, etc. The waiter calls the headwaiter to decide the matter. The actor explains again to the latter the business of renting his labor-power and adds:

"And besides, there is another problem: I'll rent my labor-power but the truth is that I don't know how to do anything, or very little. You will have to give me a very simple job to do. For example, I can take out the hotel's garbage. What's the salary of the garbage man who works for you?"

The headwaiter does not want to give any information about salaries, but a second actor at another table is already prepared and explains that he and the garbage man have gotten to be friends and that the latter has told him his salary: seven *soles* per hour. The two actors make some calculations and the "protagonist" exclaims:

"How is this possible! If I work as a garbage man I'll have to work ten hours to pay for this barbecue that it took me ten minutes to eat? It can't be! Either you increase the salary of the garbage man or reduce the price of the barbecue!... But I can do something more specialized; for example, I can take care of the hotel gardens, which are so beautiful, so well cared for. One can see that a very talented person is in charge of the gardens. How much does the gardener of this hotel make? I'll work as a gardener! How many hours work in the garden are necessary to pay for the 'barbecue a la pauper'?"

A third actor, at another table, explains his friendship with

the gardener, who is an immigrant from the same village as he; for this reason he knows that the gardener makes ten soles per hour. Again the "protagonist" becomes indignant:

"How is this possible? So the man who takes care of these beautiful gardens, who spends his days out there exposed to the wind, the rain, and the sun, has to work seven long hours to be able to eat the barbecue in ten minutes? How can this be, Mr. Headwaiter? Explain it to me!"

The headwaiter is already in despair; he dashes back and forth, gives orders to the waiters in a loud voice to divert the attention of the other customers, alternately laughs and becomes serious, while the restaurant is transformed into a public forum. "The "protagonist" asks the waiter how much he is paid to serve the barbecue and offers to replace him for the necessary number of hours. Another actor, originally from a small village in the interior, gets up and declares that nobody in his village makes 70 soles per day; therefore nobody in his village can eat the "barbecue a la pauper." (The sincerity of this actor, who was, besides, telling the truth, moved those who were near his table.)

Finally, to conclude the scene, another actor intervenes with

the following proposition:

"Friends, it looks as if we are against the waiter and the headwaiter and this does not make sense. They are our brothers. They work like us, and they are not to blame for the prices charged here. I suggest we take up a collection. We at this table are going to ask you to contribute whatever you can, one sol, two soles, five soles, whatever you can afford. And with that money we are going to pay for the barbecue. And be generous, because what is left over will go as a tip for the waiter, who is our brother and a working man."

Immediately those who are with him at the table start collecting money to pay the bill. Some customers willingly give one or two soles. Others furiously comment:

"He says that the food we're eating is junk, and now he wants us to pay for his barbecue!... And am I going to eat this junk? Hell no? I wouldn't give him a peanut, so he'll learn a lesson! Let him wash dishes...."

The collection reached 100 soles and the discussion went on through the night. It is always very important that the actors do not reveal themselves to be actors! On this rests the *invisible*

nature of this form of theater. And it is precisely this invisible quality that will make the spectator act freely and fully, as if he were living a real situation — and, after all, it is a real situation!

It is necessary to emphasize that the invisible theater is not the same thing as a "happening" or the so-called "guerrilla theater." In the latter we are clearly talking about "theater," and therefore the wall that separates actors from spectators immediately arises, reducing the spectator to impotence: a spectator is always less than a man! In the invisible theater the theatrical rituals are abolished; only the theater exists, without its old, worm-out patterns. The theatrical energy is completely liberated, and the impact produced by this free theater is much more powerful and longer lasting.

Several presentations of invisible theater were made in different locations in Peru. Particularly interesting is what happened at the Carmen Market, in the barrio of Comas, some 14 kilometers away from downtown Lima. Two actresses were protagonists in a scene enacted at a vegetable stand. One of them, who was pretending to be illiterate, insisted that the vendor was cheating her, taking advantage of the fact that she did not know how to read; the other actress checked the figures, finding them to be correct, and advised the "illiterate" one to register in one of ALFIN's literacy courses. After some discussion about the best age to start one's studies, about what to study and with whom, the first actress kept on insisting that she was too old for those things. It was then that a little old woman, leaning on her cane, very indignantly shouted:

"My dears, that's not true? For learning and making love one is never too old!"

Everyone witnessing the scene broke into laughter at the old woman's amorous outburst, and the actresses were unable to continue the scene.

3) Photo-romance: In many Latin-American countries there is a genuine epidemic of photo-romances, sub-literature on the lowest imaginable level, which furthermore always serves as a vehicle for the ruling classes' ideology. The technique here consists in reading to the participants the general lines in the plot of a photo-romance without telling them the source of this plot. The participants are asked to act out the story. Finally, the acted-out story is compared to the story as it is told in the photo-romance,

and the differences are discussed.

For example: a rather stupid story taken from Corín Tellado, the worst author of this brutalizing genre, started like this:

A woman is waiting for her husband in the company of another woman who is helping her with the housework....

The participants acted according to their customs: a woman at home expecting her husband will naturally be preparing the meal; the one helping her is a neighbor, who comes to chat about various things; the husband comes home tired after a long day's work; the house is a one-room shack, etc., etc. In Corín Tellado, on the contrary, the woman is dressed in a long evening gown, with pearl necklaces, etc.; the woman who is helping her is a black maid who says no more than "Yes, ma'am"; "The dinner is served, ma'am"; "Very well, ma'am"; "Here comes Mr. X, ma'am"; and nothing else. The house is a marble palace; the husband comes home after a day's work in his factory, where he had an argument with the workers because they, "not understanding the crisis we are all living through, wanted an increase in salaries...," and continuing in this vein.

This particular story was sheer trash, but at the same time it served as magnificent example of ideological insight. The well-dressed woman received a letter from an unknown woman, went to visit her, and discovered her to be a former mistress of her husband; the mistress stated that the husband had left her because he wanted to marry the factory owner's daughter, that is, the well-dressed woman. To top it all, the mistress exclaimed:

"Yes, he betrayed me, deceived me. But I forgive him because, after all, he has always been very ambitious, and he knew very well that with me he could not climb very high. On the other hand, with you he can go very far indeed!"

That is to say, the former mistress forgave her lover because he had in the highest degree that capitalistic eagerness to possess everything. The desire to be a factory owner is presented as something so noble that even a few betrayals on the way up are to

And the young wife, not to be outdone, pretends to be ill so that he will have to remain at her side, and so that, as a result of this trick, he will finally fall in love with her. What an ideology! This love story is crowned with a happy ending rotten to the core. Of course the story, when told without the dialogues and acted

out by peasants, takes on an entirely different meaning. When at the end of the performance, the participants are told the origin of the plot they have just acted out, they experience a shock. And this must be understood: when they read Corín Tellado they immediately assume the passive role of "spectators"; but if they first of all have to act out a story themselves, afterwards, when they do read Corín Tellado's version, they will no longer assume a passive, expectant attitude, but instead a critical, comparative one. They will look at the lady's house, and compare it to their own, at the husband's or wife's attitudes and compare them with those of their own spouses, etc. And they will be prepared to detect the poison infiltrating the pages of those photo-stories, or the comics and other forms of cultural and ideological domination.

I was overjoyed when, months after the experiments with the educators, back in Lima, I was informed that the residents of several barrios were using that same technique to analyze television programs, an endless source of poison directed against the people.

4) Breaking of repression: The dominant classes crush the dominated ones through repression; the old crush the young through repression; certain races subjugate certain others through repression. Never through a cordial understanding, through an honest interchange of ideas, through criticism and autocriticism. No. The ruling classes, the old, the "superior" races, or the masculine sex, have their sets of values and impose them by force, by unilateral violence, upon the oppressed classes, the young, the races they consider inferior, or women.

The capitalist does not ask the working man if he agrees that the capital should belong to one and the labor to another; he simply places an armed policeman at the factory door and that is that — private property is decreed.

The dominated class, race, sex, or age group suffers the most constant, daily, and omnipresent repression. The ideology becomes concrete in the figure of the dominated person. The proletariat is exploited through the domination that is exerted on all proletarians. Sociology becomes psychology. There is not an oppression by the masculine sex in general of the feminine sex in general: what exists is the concrete oppression that men (individuals) direct against women (individuals).

unusual marriage proposal. The obedient daughter expressed herself in this way:

"If it is for the salvation of all, so that the thirst and hunger of all the peasants will come to an end, if it is so that you may have a lagoon with the freshest water of all Peru, if it is so that that lagoon will belong to you alone and bring you personal prosperity and riches — for you will be able to sell this wonderful water to the peasants, who will find it cheaper to buy from you than to travel so many kilometers — if it is for all this, tell the gentleman in the black poncho, astride his black horse, that I will go with him, even if in my heart I am suspicious of his true identity and of the places he will take me."

Happy and contented, and of course somewhat tearful, the kind father went to inform the man in black of the decision, meanwhile asking the daughter to make some little signs showing the price of a liter of water, in order to expedite the work. The man in black undressed the girl, for he did not want to take anything from that house besides the girl herself, and placed her on his horse, which set off at a gallop toward a great depression in the plains. Then an enormous explosion was heard, and a large cloud of smoke remained in the very place where the horse, horseman, and naked girl had disappeared. From the huge hole that had been made in the ground, a spring started to flow and formed the lagoon with the freshest water of all Peru.

This myth no doubt hides a truth: the landlord took possession of what did not belong to him. If formerly the noblemen attributed to God the granting of their property and rights, today explanations no less magical are still used. In this case, the property of the lagoon was explained by the loss of the eldest daughter, the landlord's most precious possession — a transaction took place! And serving as a reminder of that, the legend said that on the nights of the new moon one could hear the girl singing at the bottom of the lagoon, still naked and combing her long hair with a beautiful golden comb. . . . Yes, the truth is that for the landlord the lagoon was like gold.

The myths told by the people should be studied and analyzed and their hidden truths revealed. In this task the theater can be extraordinarily useful.

6) Analytical theater: A story is told by one of the participants and immediately the actors improvise it. Afterward each

character is broken down into all his social roles and the participants are asked to choose a physical object to symbolize each role. For example, a policeman killed a chicken thief. The policeman is analyzed:

a) he is a worker because he rents his labor-power; symbol: a pair of overalls;

b) He is a bourgeois because he protects private property and values it more than human life; symbol: a necktie, or a top hat, etc.;

c) he is a repressive agent because he is a policeman; symbol: a revolver.

This is continued until the participants have analyzed all his roles: head of a family (symbol: the wallet, for example), member of a fraternal order, etc., etc. It is important that the symbols be chosen by the participants present and that they not be imposed "from above." For a particular community the symbol for the head of the family might be a wallet, because he is the person who controls the household finances, and in this way controls the family. For another community this symbol may not communicate anything, that is, it may not be a symbol; then an armchair may be chosen...

Having analyzed the character, or characters (it is advisable to limit this operation to the central characters only, for the sake of simplicity and clarity), a fresh attempt to tell the story is made, but taking away some of the symbols from each character, and consequently some social roles as well. Would the story be exactly the same if:

a) the policeman did not have the top hat or the necktie?

b) the robber had a top hat or necktie?

c) the robber had a revolver?

d) the policeman and the robber both had the same symbol for the fraternal order?

The participants are asked to make varying combinations and the proposed combinations must be performed by the actors and criticized by all those present. In this way they will realize that human actions are not the exclusive and primordial result of individual psychology: almost always, through the individual speaks his class!

7) Rituals and masks: The relations of production (infrastructure) determine the culture of a society (superstructure).

Sometimes the infrastructure changes but the superstructure for a while remains the same. In Brazil the landlords would not allow the peasants to look them in the face while talking with them: this would mean lack of respect. The peasants were accustomed to talking with the landlords only while staring at the ground and murmuring: "yes, sir; yes, sir; yes, sir." When the government decreed an agrarian reform (before 1964, date of the facist coup d'etat) its emissaries went to the fields to tell the peasants that now they could become landowners. The peasants, staring at the ground, murmured: "yes, friend; yes, friend." A feudalistic culture had totally permeated their lives. The relationships of the peasant with the landlord were entirely different from those with the agent of the Institute of Agrarian Reform, but the ritual remained unchanged.

This particular technique of a people's theater ("Rituals and masks") consists precisely in revealing the superstructures, the rituals which reify all human relationships, and the masks of behavior that those rituals impose on each person according to the roles he plays in society and the rituals he must perform.

A very simple example: a man goes to a priest to confess his sins. How will he do it? Of course, he will kneel, confess his sins, hear the penitence, cross himself, and leave. But do all men confess always in the same way before all priests? Who is the man,

and who is the priest?

In this case we need two versatile actors to stage the same confession four times:

First scene: the priest and the parishioner are landlords; Second scene: the priest is a landlord and the parishioner is a

Third scene: the priest is a peasant and the parishioner is a

Fourth scene: the priest and the parishioner are peasants. The ritual is the same in each instance, but the different social masks will cause the four scenes to be different also.

This is an extraordinarily rich technique which has countless variants: the same ritual changing masks; the same ritual performed by people of one social class, and later by people of another class; exchange of masks within the same ritual; etc., etc.

Conclusion: "Spectator," a Bad Word!

Yes, this is without a doubt the conclusion: "Spectator" is a

bad word! The spectator is less than a man and it is necessary to humanize him, to restore to him his capacity of action in all its fullness. He too must be a subject, an actor on an equal plane with those generally accepted as actors, who must also be spectators. All these experiments of a people's theater have the same objective — the liberation of the spectator, on whom the theater has imposed finished visions of the world. And since those responsible for theatrical performances are in general people who belong directly or indirectly to the ruling classes, obviously their finished images will be reflections of themselves. The spectators in the people's theater (i.e., the people themselves) cannot go on being the passive victims of those images.

As we have seen in the first essay of this book, the poetics of Aristotle is the poetics of oppression: the world is known, perfect or about to be perfected, and all its values are imposed on the spectators, who passively delegate power to the characters to act and think in their place. In so doing the spectators purge themselves of their tragic flaw — that is, of something capable of changing society. A catharsis of the revolutionary impetus is produced! Dramatic action substitutes for real action.

Brecht's poetics is that of the enlightened vanguard: the world is revealed as subject to change, and the change starts in the theater itself, for the spectator does not delegate power to the characters to think in his place, although he continues to delegate power to them to act in his place. The experience is revealing on the level of consciousness, but not globally on the level of the action. Dramatic action throws light upon real action. The spectacle is a preparation for action.

The poetics of the oppressed is essentially the poetics of liberation: the spectator no longer delegates power to the characters either to think or to act in his place. The spectator frees himself; he thinks and acts for himself! Theater is action!

Perhaps the theater is not revolutionary in itself; but have no doubts, it is a rehearsal of revolution!

Notes for Chapter 4

¹Chart of Various Languages

	sounds, etc.	
	forms, movements,	
	languages: words, colors,	
Dramatic action	Sum of all imaginable	Theater
fade-in, fade-out, etc.		
superimposition, usage	music and speech)	
Montage: splicing,	Image (secondarily,	Cinema
its syntax.		
Each style has	Colors and forms	Painting
	etc.), notes	
	(timbre, tonalities,	
melody and rhythm	and their sounds	
Musical phrase;	Musical instruments	Music
object, predicate, etc.)		written
Sentence (subject,	Words	Spoken-
Syntax	Lexicon (vocabulary)	Language
of Reality	of Reality	of Reality
Transformation	Substantiation	Communication

²The government established after the October 1968 revolution and headed by President Juan Velasco Alvarado (replaced in August 1975 by Francisco Morales Bermúdez). (Translators' note).

into one of Brazil's — indeed, one of Latin America's — most outstanding theaters. After 1964, when military rule was established in that country, Boal's work continued, though hampered by censorship and other restrictions imposed by the government. His outspoken position against the authoritarian regime led to his imprisonment and torture in 1971. Released after three months and acquitted of all charges, he was nevertheless compelled to leave Brazil in order to insure the safety of himself and his family. After political circumstances also forced him to leave Buenos Aires, Argentina, he took up residence in Portugal.

U

DEVELOPMENT OF THE ARENA THEATER OF SÃO PAULO